

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,170	10/09/2003	Marty van der Hagen		7305	
54366 7:	590 08/05/2005		EXAMINER		
RICK B. YEAGER, ATTORNEY 10805 MELLOW LANE			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE		
AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.4		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	•		
			82,170	HAGEN, MARTY V	HAGEN, MARTY VAN DER		
Office Action Summary		Exan	niner	Art Unit			
			ifer E. Novosad	3634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears o	n the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In cation. ays, a reply within the properties of the propertie	no event, however, may a he statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 27 May 200	<b>05</b> .				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3,4 and 9 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	3 is/are: a)☐ In to the drawin e correction is r	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notion 1	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)		

Art Unit: 3634

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, i.e., claims 1-9, in the reply filed on May 27, 2005 is acknowledged.

Accordingly, claims 10-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because:

- (a) it is unclear what is being depicted in Figure 4. It is noted that applicant has stated that Figure 4 is an "exploded view". and
  - (b) It is strongly suggested that Figure 2 contain assembling lines.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3634

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The use of the phrase "may be" in lines 11 and 19 of claim 1 renders the claim indefinite since what "may be" to one, "may not be" to another and therefore the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be properly ascertained.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the vertical support" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. To correct this, it appears, in view of line 8, that --element-- should be inserted after "support" in line 11.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the lower portion" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitations (a) "the bottom support" in line 4, (b) "the top wire segment" in line 7, and (c) "the lower wire segment" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the bottom support" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 is rendered indefinite by the language "wherein there in there" in line 1.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, claims 2, 5-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, and claims 3, 4, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is 571-272-6832.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard E. Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer E. Novosad Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

August 2, 2005